			_ אי
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowshills	10/761,539	WILLIAMS, VINSON	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Russell	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIC of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. T	HIS nitiative
1. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment filed o</u>	<u>n 1/26/2006</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5,10-16,18 and 19</u> .			
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dociments not international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" onoted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMETHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the company of the paper No./Mail Date DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposent attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F.	been received. been received in Application No uments have been received in this in f this communication to file a reply of this application. ted. Note the attached EXAMINER's reason(s) why the oath or declaration be submitted. but's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1 - Amendment / Comment or in the Office of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in it of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage application from the complying with the requirements as AMENDMENT or NOTICE Office is deficient. 948) attached affice action of the back) of the complying with the front (not the back) of the complying the submitted. Note the	s
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendm	ė .	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The amendment to the drawings, or more specifically the addition of the string length 44 to Figure 5, and the addition of the string line 32, the separation distance 46 and the bridge 48 to Figure 7, is accepted.

Specification

The amendment to the specification to clarify the items not visible in the drawings, or more specifically the clarification of the hammer 86 not appearing in Figure 5, and the strings 30 not appearing in Figure 6, is accepted.

Reasons For Allowance

- 1. Claims 1-5, 10-16, 18 and 19 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 3. The prior art relied upon in the first office action rejection, mainly Cunningham (6,740,800), no longer teaches all the claimed elements of the independent claims 1 and 12, and no other prior art references could be found that teach said claimed elements, therefore the independent claims and their dependent claims are considered allowable. References that teach similar elements as the patent mentioned above are Kidwell (3,443,468), Schutze (3,293,974), Reed (1,374,388), Aronis (4,175,466), and Koniecki (3,293,975).

Application/Control Number: 10/761,539

Art Unit: 2837

4. In terms of claim 1, the applicant was correct is stating that Cunningham does not teach the direct manipulation of the strings by the user, and therefore does not teach an instrument with two methods of playing. Kidwell teaches a picking and fingering device, which allows for both the dampening and vibrating of the strings, but the device is taught to be foot operated and does not allow the user to directly play the strings. Schutze teaches a similar plucking mechanism, but this device only allows for plucking, or vibrating of the strings and not for the dampening of them. The opposite of Schutze's teachings is the device proposed by Reed, which allows for the dampening or shortening of the strings and not for the vibration. Also Reed teaches the hammers which dampen the strings directly over the strings and not at an acute angle. Koniecki teaches a keyboard device that allows for the plucking or vibrating of the strings, and the dampening of the strings, but the dampening is only used to stop the vibration already set in motion. Koniecki's device also does not shorten the length of the string when it dampens it, and therefore does not allow the user to dampen the string with the keyboard device and pluck the desired string with the user's free hand. Lastly, Aronis teaches a keyboard device which allows for the vibration and dampening of strings, while also allowing the user to manipulate the strings with the other hand. Aronis does not however teach the keyboard mechanism at an acute angle to the strings, nor does he teach the use of hammers to vibrate and dampen the strings. Also no mention is made of a bridge, a head piece or the reduction of string length when dampened. Also, Aronis does teach of the strings ability to be dampened, but the dampers appear below the strings and not on the key to be depressed. Finally, Aronis fails to show the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

keyboard device overlaying the strings, but shows it to the side. Therefore claim 1, and its dependent claims, 2-5, and 10-11 are accepted.

5. In terms of claim 12, similar to claim 1, all the above mentioned references fail to teach the claimed elements presented in this claim, such as the reduction of string length, the presence of a bridge, a head, and hammers, and the ability of the keyboard device to allow for both vibration and dampening of the strings, while still allowing the user to manipulate the visible portion of the strings not covered by the keyboard. therefore making claim 12 and its dependent claims 13-16 and 18-19 acceptable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Russell whose telephone number is 571-272-4350. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2837

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CR 2/06/2006